

in terms of allocation of these funds, but if you want to play funny games with employment of people and with job opportunities to say get a project in my district which goes against the entire grain of the bill, and when you get a veto back on some of those projects in your district, don't come running crying to me telling me you need thirty votes. I want that to be understood and I want you to understand perfectly clear that I knew what was going to happen when this bill came out in this form. We were going to try to divide up the pie for projects in our districts without any regard for the full intent of the bill and that is to solve some of the unemployment problems and that is petty, that is ridiculous, that is nonsense. I think we ought to tell the Governor that he ought to take full advantage of the state allocation under the Public Works Employment Act of 1977 and we ought to let it go at that. Let him determine it by criteria, not by the fact I am going to get a little help at the Gretna State Park or the Fish Hatchery or Sam Cullan is going to get it at Fort Rob and you can tell how the votes are going. It is on that basis. It is not on employment and you had better get it back to employment and you had better realize that this is a serious, serious blow if adopted to 549, because with that section in there, and I am sure the Governor is going to strike it out, and I hope he does, then he and I will be in total agreement for once. That will make us both happy. But I will not support this bill in an override with this kind of language in there and I can assure you there is going to be some things cut out of it. I oppose the Bereuter amendment.

PRESIDENT: Senator Simon calls for the previous question. Are there five seconds?

CLERK: To amend, Mr. President.

PRESIDENT: Amend. That is in order. Yes, read the motion.

CLERK: Mr. President, - read. (See page 2117, Legislative Journal.) Signed Senator Newell.

PRESIDENT: Strike paragraphs (a) and (b)?

CLERK: Yes.

PRESIDENT: We have already defeated, Senator Duis, weren't you (a) and Senator Lewis was (b). You moved to strike (a)?

SENATOR DUIS: I moved to strike (a).

PRESIDENT: And you had (b)?

SENATOR F. LEWIS: Yes, Mr. President, may I comment?

PRESIDENT: No, not yet. The Chair is going to rule the motion out of order. It is a motion to reconsider.

SENATOR NEWELL: I would like to appeal the ruling of the Chair.